

Source Document Log
Source Document Discrepancy Log (Over)

Drain: L V b/RIGHT

Drain: LV WRIGHT

Drain #: 225

Improvement/Arm: _____

Operator: J. LIVINGSTON

Date: 8-31-04

Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1903

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors









Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: L V WRIGHT

Sum: 3190 3190 \$61,295.50

Final Report: _____

Comments:

HAMILTON COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

COURT HOUSE

NOBLESVILLE, INDIANA 46060

March 7, 1988

TO: Tipton County Drainage Board

RE: George Sowers (L.V. Wright)-
J. A. Brown Drains


This is to advise you that the Hamilton County Drainage Board hereby waive their right to be represented on a joint board in reference to the above mentioned drain.

We further request that the Tipton County Drainage Board be the Board to have jurisdiction over all proceedings regarding Geo Sowers & J.A. Brown Drain, including its maintenance and any necessary improvements.

Sincerely,

Hamilton County Drainage Board

D. Gordley _____ Chairperson
Joe Roudelash
Phil Henderson



SURVEYOR'S OFFICE
Hamilton County

Kenton C. Ward, Surveyor

776-9626

942 Maple Avenue

Noblesville, Indiana 46060

January 23, 1990

TO: Hamilton County Drainage Board

RE: L.V. Wright Drain

On February 16, 1989 the Tipton County Drainage Board approved the reconstruction of the L.V. Wright or George Sowers-J.A. Brown Drain. The Hamilton County Drainage Board had waived its right to a joint Board concerning this matter on March 7, 1988 making Tipton County the Board of Record. The work was completed on or around August 17, 1989 by Chuck Johnson, Inc. for a total of \$173,519.53. The work was inspected by the Tipton County Surveyor and approved by the Tipton County Drainage Board.

On March 16, 1988 I requested the Tipton County Surveyor to include in his report the vacation of the original tile from Station 0 to Station 19+00, which is the County line. This portion of the tile is in Hamilton County and is described in the original report for the L.V. Wright Drain dated October 1902. This tile was replaced by the existing open ditch, probably in 1958.

In October, 1989, I found the Tipton County Surveyor did not include abandonment of the tile portion of the drain in his report to the Board. In November 1989, I asked the Tipton County Drainage Board for a waiver concerning the tile abandonment in Hamilton County. On January 16, 1990, the Tipton County Drainage Board approved the waiver of Joint Board in this matter.

I recommend the Hamilton County Drainage Board vacate the L.V. Wright Drain from Station 0+70 to Station 19+00 of the original description. Station 0+70 of the original drain is the same as Station 190+74 of the 1989 project. The vacation would affect the old tile portion in Hamilton County only and not the existing open ditch. The eighteen (18") inch tile drain from Station 0 to Station 0+70 of the original report (190+04 to 190+74 of the 1989 report) will remain as regualted drain. I believe only Hamilton County residents are affected and recommend only Hamilton County residents be notified. This by no means will alter the current reconstruction nor maintenance programs.

I recommend the Board set a hearing for this matter in April 1990.

KCW/no


Kenton C. Ward
Hamilton County Surveyor

Knight et al
Ditch Report
& Returns

12 3
3 7
6 6.

321

approved
april 10 1903
and ditch
Ordered
constructed
by Jas Hessey
OB

100 48
100 48

105.
57
46.

109

633
32
112
36 17
36 17
172

FILED

APR 8 1903

George Powell
Hamilton Co.

192 100

26 37

REVIEWERS' REPORT OF LEWIS V. WRIGHT ET AL. DITCH.
TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF TIPTON AND HAMILTON COUNTYS,
INDIANA:-

We, the undersigned, appointed by this court, at the March Regular Term, 1903, to review a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of court for a ditch, by Lewis V. Wright, George W. Sowers et al., handed us by the Auditor, together with a plat of the said proposed drain, including an accurate survey by the engineer, and the original viewers' report, would represent that according to said order, we on the day named thereinto, to-wit:- the 24th day of March 1902, in company with H. L. Findley a civil engineer, we proceeded to review the said proposed drain as laid out and located by the original viewers, from its source to its outlet, including their survey by the engineer. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work to be the same as shown in the original viewers report, to-wit: \$ 26 68 89 Dollars.

"See Exhibit B".

We have also set out by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, as also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful review and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by said original survey will be of public utility and a benefit to the neighborhood and that along the line of said drain there are large tracts of wet land that will be rendered more fertile and we believe that the cost of the construction of said drain will be less than the benefits to be derived therefrom, and that the best method to accomplish said drainage is as follows.

2

DESCRIPTION.

By the construction of a drain commencing at a point 1006 foot south of the northeast corner of the northwest quarter of Section three (3), Township Twenty (20) north, Range four (4) east, Hamilton County, Indiana, run thence N. $58\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ E. 2100 feet, thence N. 29° E. 1300 feet, thence N. 41° E. 100 feet, thence N. $62^{\circ} 30'$ E. 100 feet, thence N. 70° E. 1145 feet, thence N. 55° E. 1065 feet, thence East 490 feet, thence N. 50° E. 1200 feet, thence N. 65° E. 400 feet, thence N. 40° E. 700 feet, thence N. 70° E. 600 feet, thence East 400 feet, thence N. 70° E. 400 feet, thence N. 80° E. 1000 feet, thence S. 80° E. 1000 feet, thence N. 62° E. 600 feet, thence N. 45° E. 1800 feet, thence N. 85° E. 700 feet, thence S. 32° E. 700 feet and terminating 700 feet west and 120 feet north of the southeast corner of the northeast quarter of Section 36, Township 21 north, Range four (4) east, Tipton County, Indiana.

Also an arm beginning at a point 440 feet west and 20 feet north of the southeast corner of the west half of the southwest quarter of section 35, Township 21 north, Range four (4) east, Tipton County, Indiana, run thence N. 50° E. 626 feet, thence North 1134 feet and terminating on Main Ditch at station 47 + 45 at a point 1520 feet north of the last above named corner.

REQUIREMENTS.

Main Ditch to consist on one row of 14" tile from stake 0 to stake 37 + 45 and one row of 16" tile from stake 37 + 45 to stake 47 + 45 and one row of 24" tile from stake 47 + 45 to stake 56 + 94 and one row of 24" salt glazed sewer tile from stake 56 + 94 to stake 57 + 10.

At stake 57 + 10 or end of tile there shall be a stone retaining wall 2' x 6' x 18' built of niger heads or ruble stone laid up in mortar made from on part Portland Cement to three parts good sharp sand, so constructed as to receive the tile at the established grade and at least 18" above the base of the wall.

3

The remaining portion of Main Ditch from stake 57 + 10 to stake 148 to be an open drain 18" wide at bottom with side slopes 45° as far as practicable.

The arm or branch to consist of one row of 16" tile from stake 0 to stake 17 + 70 or its entire length, and to be connected to Main Ditch at stake 47 + 55 with a wye sewer tile connection 18" X 24". From stake 17 + 60 to stake 17 + 70 shall be laid in a regular curve so as to make proper connection with main ditch.

All tile used in the construction of this ditch shall be first class in every respect laid in the ditch in a true line at the proper grade with joints well matched and shall be left uncovred until inspected and accepted by the engineer in charge after being accepted the trench of Ditch over and along the line of the tile shall be filled with earth to a depth of not less than 24" over the tile where the ditch runs in the old open channel and where the ditch is new cut the trench to be filled full.

All work to be done in a first class workmanlike manner and to the full satisfaction of the engineer.

The fall of the established grade of main Ditch from Stake 0 to stake 45 is 6/100 feet to each 100 feet; from stake 45 to stake 57 + 10 is 8/100 feet, to each 100 feet; at stake 57 + 10 or at end of tile a drop in grade of 25/100 feet; from stake 57 + 10 to stake 127 is 8/100 feet to each 100 feet; and from stake 127 to stake 148 is 15/100 feet to each 100 feet.

The fall of the established grade of the Arm from 0 to Station 17 + 70 is 6/100 feet to each 100 feet.

ESTIMATED COST.

3745 feet 14" drain tile -----	\$575.00
1000 feet 15" drain tile -----	173.00
1770 feet 16" drain tile -----	341.80
949 feet 24" drain tile -----	432.14 15 2 / 94
16 feet 24" Sewer tile -----	14.50
One wye connection 18" X 24" -----	5.70
Stone wall 2' X 6' X 18' -----	30.00
Cubic yards excavation Main Ditch -----	922.50
Cubic yards excavation Arm -----	69.25
Laying tile -----	55.00
Filling ditch -----	40.00
Grubbing and clearing -----	10.00
Total Estimated Cost	<hr/> \$2668.89

No.	Names.	Description of Lands.	Section.	Township.	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Amt' of Assess't.		TOTALS.		
					Rate	Acre.	Widths.	Acre.	Widths.	\$	Ols.	\$	Ols.
1	Catharine Moody.	NW.	SW.	NW.	2	20	4	21.35	21	35	82	20	240.46
	"	SW.	SW.	NW.	2	20	4	40	40		94	00	219.10
	"	pt E.	SW.	NW.	2	20	4	21.35	21	35	64	16	
2	M. A. Sheil.	pt NW.	SW.	NE.	2	20	4	18	18		9.50		29.50
	"	SW.	SW.	SE.	3	35	21	4	40		20	00	26.88
3	James Sheil.	pt N ²	SW.	NE.	2	20	4	5	5		1.25		
	"	pt N ²	SW.	NE.	3	20	4	40	40				1.14
4	Jacob Whistler Jr.	E pt E ²	SW.	NW.	2	20	4	40	40		25	00	25.00
	"	E pt E ²	SW.	NW.	3	20	4	40	40				22.78
5	Samuel Mohler	SE.	SW.	NW.	2	20	4	40	40		157.50		205.40
	"	pt NE (Fract.)	SW.	NW.	3	20	4	14	14		47.90		187.16
6	Levi D. Wright*	W ^{1/2} (Fract.)	NE.	NE.	3	20	4	60	60		276.00		276.00
	"	W ^{1/2} (Fract.)	NE.	NE.	3	20	4	61.42	61.42		200.00		257.48
7	Hugh J. Scully.	E ^{1/2} (Fract.)	NE.	SE.	3	20	4	61.42	61.42		200.00		200.00
	"	E ^{1/2} (Fract.)	NE.	SE.	3	20	4	40	40		72.00	X	182.24
8	Albert Overdorff.	NE.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	40	40				65.60
	"	SE.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	40	40				65.60
9	Ozias Moody.	SW.	SW.	SW.	2	20	4	40	40		72.00	X	72.00
	"	SW.	SW.	SW.	2	20	4	40	40				65.60
10	Wm E. Moody	pt SW.	SW.	SW.	2	20	4	9	9		12.50		12.50
	"	pt SW.	SW.	SW.	2	20	4	9	9				11.39
11	Abe O. Kauffman.	pt SE.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	3	3		3.75		3.75
	"	SE.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	3	3				3.42
12	Oscar P. Rhoades.	pt NE.	SE.	SE.	2	20	4	4	4		5.00		5.00
	"	pt NE.	SE.	SE.	2	20	4	4	4				4.56
13	Frances M. Lafava	pt NW.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	12	12		33.20		33.20
	"	pt NW.	SE.	SE.	3	20	4	12	12				30.25
14	Jacob Dorenbarger	pt NW ²	SE.	NE.	3	35	21	4	16.33	16.33	12.25		56.00
	"	W ²	SE.	NE.	3	35	21	4	40	40	20.00		51.03
	"	NW.	SE.	NE.	3	35	21	4	26.66	26.66	20.00		
	"	Spt SW.	SE.	SE.	2	26	21	4	15	15	3.75		
15	Mary A. Gallagher.	SE.	SE.	SW.	3	35	21	4	40	40	102.00		204.00
	"	SE.	SE.	SW.	3	35	21	4	40	40	102.00		185.88
	"	SE.	SE.	SW.	3	35	21	4	40	40			143.00

No.	Names.	Description of Lands.	Section	Township	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't. of Assess't.		TOTALS.		
					Fam.	Acre.	Hdths.	Acre.	Hdths.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
34	John G Warfel ✓	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 80' 28	34	21	4	40		40		99 00	+ 25	99 00	
35	Fannie Warfel ✓	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 80' 28	34	21	4	40		40		51 00	+ 13	51 00	40 21
36	Alfred Illyes. ✓	E ² ✓ 47 SW 26	26	21	4	80		80		8 00		8 00	46 47
37	Otto N. Illyes. ✓	E side ✓ 176 NE 35	35	21	4	50		50		30 00		30 00	7 29
38	George O. Illyes. ✓	S. end W ² 13/4 SW 26	26	21	4	21		21		2 10		2 10	27 34
39	Eliza Illyes. ✓	Ept W ² 13/4 SW 27	27	21	4	30		30		2 05		2 05	1 91
	elizt illyes 25 ✓	59 a off N. end W ² SW 26	26	21	4	59		59		3 95		3 95	18 22
	OTTO J. ILLYES ✓	SW 35	35	21	4	80		80		14 00		14 00	
40	C. H. Illyes ✓	E ² ✓ 94 NE 34	34	21	4	80		80		16 00		16 00	14 58
41	Elvora S Brown ✓	E ² ✓ 47 SW 27	27	21	4	80		80		8 00		8 00	7 29
42	Minnie Lafava ✓	Middle pt 184 NE 35	35	21	4	53		53		31 50		31 50	28 70
43	Geo. W. Sowers sen ✓	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 256 SW 35	35	21	4	40		40		174 00		174 00	
44	Geo. W. Sowers Jr. ✓	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ 205 SW 34	34	21	4	40		40		139 00		139 00	126 65
45	Jas. H. Sowers ✓	pt SW 321 SW 28	28	21	4	25		25		1 25		1 25	216 25
	"	SW 321 SW 34	34	21	4	40		40		2 15		2 15	197 04
46	Jesus Mendenhall ✓	SW 320 SW 35	35	21	4	40		40		217 00		217 00	197 73
47	Absolom Sowers pt 8 1/2 ✓	SW 33 NE 36	36	21	4	30		30		4 50		4 50	9 00
	"	8 1/2 8 1/2 ✓ SW 38	36	21	4	30		30		4 50		4 50	8 20
48	Marion Sowers ✓	pt SW 34	34	21	4	10		10		3 00		3 00	2 73
49	Anthony Yantis ✓	pt NW 34	34	21	4	5		5		50		50	46
50	A. L. Sowers ✓	pt NW 34	34	21	4	7		7		70		70	67
										0 6		0 6	
										3 C		3 C	
										1 8 0		1 8 0	

No.	Names.	Description of Lands.	Section. Township. Range	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't of Assess't.		TOTALS.	
				Acre.	Hects.	Acre.	Hects.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
51	Maria E Snyder	N ² SE ✓ SW 26 21 4	20	20		20		2.00		560	
"		NE ✓ SW 26 21 4	36	36		36		3.60		510	
52	Lucina Goodykoontz	SE ✓ SW 26 21 4	40	40		40		30.00		9313	
"		SW ✓ NW 26 21 4	38.50	38.50		38.50		28.88		84.86	
"		px NW 26 21 4	30	30		30		15.00			
"		NW 26 21 4	38.50	38.50		38.50		19.25			
<i>Landless.</i>											
53	Northern Traction Co.	Right of way thro. N ² 26 21 4	25					20.00		20.00	
	(Geo. F. McCullough)									18.22	
54	N. J. Goodykoontz	px SW ✓ SW 26 21 4	18	18		18		.90		.90	
		px SW 26 21 4	12	12		12		.90		.82	
55	John J. Landig	px SW ✓ NE 26 21 4	75	75		75		10		90	
"		px NW 26 21 4	75	75		75		10		91	
56	Catherine Boyer	px NW 26 21 4	9	9		9		90		90	
		NE 26 21 4	9	9		9				82	
57	Anna Boyer	px SE ✓ 15 - NE 26 21 4	27	27		27		2.70		2.70	
										2.46	
58	L. E & W. Rail Road Co.	Right of way thro. N ² 26 21 4	27	27		27		25.00		25.00	
										22.78	
59	Hamilton County Gravel Road	E+W Bt 26 21 4	20	20		20		40.00		40.00	
										41.00	
60	Jackson Township Highway	N+S Bt 176 26 21 4	✓					30.00		30.00	
										27.34	
61	Selby County Gravel Road	N+S Bt 26 21 4						12.00		12.00	
										10.93	
62	Ucero Township Highway	N+S N ² 41 26 21 4						20.00		20.00	
"		E+W Bt 26 21 4						10.00		10.00	
"		N+S Cent 26 21 4						25.00		25.00	
"		N+S Thro. S ² 26 21 4						15.00		15.00	
										306.23	

We the Reviewers would further report that on our opinion it would be impracticable to allot this ditch for construction for the reason that the work can be done in less time, and at less cost, and to a better advantage if sold to the lowest and best bidder; and we recommend that the ditch be sold in accordance with the law made and provided in such cases.

REVIEWERS.

H. L. Finley
Eng.

Ortens H. Myers
Chas A. Beebe
W. S. Parry
J. D. Appleton
S. J. Kegell

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day of Oct. 1903

D. C. Powell

Auditor of Hamilton County.